

(NASA-TM-81195) A SOLUTION OF ONE  
DIMENSIONAL FREDHOLM INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF  
THE SECOND KIND (NASA) 13 p HC A02/MF A01

N81-11723

CSCL 12A

G3/64 Unclas  
29226

---

# A Solution of One-Dimensional Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind

---

Ralph E. Gabrielsen

---

November 1980



**NASA**  
National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

United States Army  
Aviation Research  
and Development  
Command



---

# A Solution of One-Dimensional Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind

---

Ralph E. Gabrielsen, Aeromechanics Laboratory  
AVRADCOM Research and Technology Laboratories  
Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, California



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

**Ames Research Center**  
Moffett Field, California 94035

United States Army  
Aviation Research and  
Development Command  
St. Louis, Missouri 63166





# LIST OF SYMBOLS

$P$	projection operator from $X$ onto $\tilde{X}$
$X$	Banach space of periodic, continuous functions on $[0, 1]$
$\tilde{X}$	piecewise linear subspace of $X$
$\bar{X}$	Banach space isomorphic to $\tilde{X}$
$\  \cdot \ $	norm in the appropriate space
$\Delta_i$	$i$ th interval of the partition
$ \Delta_i $	length of partition $\Delta_i$

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



# A SOLUTION OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL FREDHOLM INTEGRAL

## EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND KIND

Ralph E. Gabrielsen

Ames Research Center

### INTRODUCTION

In the process of developing a rigorous numeric solution for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, the need has arisen for numerically solving Fredholm integral equations of the second kind in such a way that the complete relevance of the numbers obtained is known. Accordingly, this report addresses the problem for one dimension. The results are based upon the outstanding theory developed by Kantorovich. For one-dimensional Fredholm integral equations it will be proven that the particular construction converges to the true solution. For purpose of clarity, it should be pointed out that Kantorovich (ref. 1) presents a related example for a periodic one-dimensional Fredholm integral equation; however, it is wrong in the sense that his proof does not comply with the necessary conditions of the theory applied.

### MAIN DEVELOPMENT FOR THE EQUATION

For the equation

$$x(s) - \lambda \int_0^1 h(s,t)x(t)dt = y(s) \quad (1)$$

with  $h(s,t)$  continuous and periodic in both variables over the interval  $[0,1]$ , and  $y$  also a continuous, periodic function over the interval  $[0,1]$ , it will be demonstrated that an explicit system of equations of the form

$$x(t_j) - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^n A_k h(t_j, t_k) x(t_k) = y(t_j) \quad (2)$$

converges to the solution of (1). In addition, it will be shown how the results can be directly extended to the nonperiodic case.

Equation (1) will be considered as a functional equation in the space  $X \equiv \tilde{C}$  of continuous, periodic functions on  $[0,1]$ .

System (2) will be regarded as an approximate functional equation in the space  $\bar{X} = R_n$ ,

$$\bar{K}\bar{x} \equiv \bar{x} - \lambda \bar{H}\bar{x} = \phi y$$



Also, equation (1) will typically be expressed in the form

$$Kx \equiv x - \lambda Hx = y$$

Now consider the subspace  $\tilde{X}_n$  (or  $\tilde{X}$ ) of  $X$ : Space of continuous functions on  $[0,1]$  that are linear on the partitions  $\Delta_i$  where the  $T_i$ 's (defined by  $T_i = (i - 1/2)/n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are the end points of the interval  $\Delta_i$ .

Define the mapping  $\phi_0$  on  $\tilde{X}_n$  onto  $\bar{X}$  as follows: if  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ , then  $\phi_0 \tilde{x} = \bar{x}$ , where  $\bar{x} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ ,  $\xi_i = \tilde{x}(T_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\phi_0$  defines a 1:1 mapping between  $\tilde{X}$  and  $R_n$ ,  $\phi_0^{-1}$  exists. Norms on  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\bar{X}$  are defined as follows:

if

$$\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}, \quad \|\tilde{x}\| = \max_{0 < t < 1} |\tilde{x}(t)|$$

if

$$\bar{x} \in \bar{X} \equiv R_n, \quad \|\bar{x}\| = \max_{i=1 \dots n} |\xi_i|$$

where

$$\bar{x} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$$

Consequently,

$$\|\phi_0\| = \|\phi_0^{-1}\| = 1$$

Define the mapping  $\phi$  as follows:

if

$$x \in \tilde{X}, \quad \phi x = \phi_0 x$$

if

$$x \in \tilde{X}, \quad \phi x = [x(t_1), x(t_2), \dots, x(t_n)], \quad t_i = \frac{i - (1/2)}{n}$$

$$\therefore \|\phi\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\phi x\| = 1$$

Hence, it readily follows that  $\phi$  is a linear extension of  $\phi_0$ .

Let  $\omega_s(\delta)$  denote the modulus of continuity of the function  $h(s,t)$  relative to  $s$ :

$$\omega_s(\delta) = \sup |h(s + \sigma, t) - h(s, t)| \quad (0 \leq s, t \leq 1, |\sigma| \leq \delta)$$



Similarly,  $\omega_c(\delta)$  is defined. Note, of course, that all parameters are restricted to the domain of consideration  $[0,1]$ .

Now, with these preliminaries out of the way, we will quote a theorem of Kantorovich (ref. 1), which can now be directly applied to the problem in question. Consequently, most of what follows entails demonstrating that the conditions of the Kantorovich Theorem are satisfied. Before stating the theorem of Kantorovich, we will briefly discuss items necessary for the understanding of the Kantorovich Theorem.

We seek a solution of Kantorovich's first equation (K1)

$$Kx \equiv x - \lambda Hx = y$$

in the B. space  $X$ , and the approximate solution  $\tilde{x}$  of  $\tilde{K}\tilde{x} \equiv \tilde{x} - \lambda\tilde{H}\tilde{x} = P_y$  in  $\tilde{X} \subset X$  where  $P$  is a projection operation from  $X$  onto  $\tilde{X}$ .

Let  $\phi_0$  define an isomorphism between  $\tilde{X}$  and the space  $\bar{X}$ ; now assume there exists a linear extension  $\phi$  of the operation  $\phi_0$  to the space  $X$ .

Let  $\phi = \phi_0 P$ ,  $P$  the projection operation from  $X$  onto  $\tilde{X}$ . Therefore

$$P = \phi_0^{-1}\phi$$

Hence, in (K2)

$$\phi_0(\tilde{x} - \lambda\tilde{H}\tilde{x} = \phi_0^{-1}\phi y)\bar{x} = \phi_0\tilde{x}$$

yields the associated equations (K3)

$$\bar{x} - \lambda\bar{H}\bar{x} = \phi y$$

where

$$\bar{H} = \phi_0\tilde{H}\phi_0^{-1}, \quad \text{in } \bar{X}$$

I. As shown by Kantorovich (ref. 1), if the following conditions can be satisfied

- A. for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ ,  $\|\bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x} - \phi H\tilde{x}\| \leq \eta\|\tilde{x}\|$
- B. for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  such that  $\|Hx - \tilde{x}\| < \eta_1\|x\|$
- C. an element  $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$  such that  $\|y - \tilde{y}\| < \eta_2\|y\|$

and if the operator  $(I-H)$  has a linear inverse, and if

$$\bar{q} = |\lambda| \left[ \bar{\eta}(1 + |\lambda|\eta_1)\|\phi_0^{-1}\| + \eta_1\|\phi_0^{-1}\phi K\| \right] \|K^{-1}\| < 1$$

then  $(I-H)\bar{x} = \bar{y}$  has a solution  $\bar{x}^*$  for every right-hand side  $y \in \bar{X}$  with



$$\|\bar{x}^*\| \leq \frac{\bar{N}}{1 + \bar{q}} \|y\| \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N} = (1 + |\lambda| \eta_1) \|\phi_0\| \|\phi_0^{-1}\| \|K^{-1}\|$$

II. Furthermore, if in addition,  $x^*$  is the solution of  $(I-H)x = y$ , then

$$\|x^* - \phi_0^{-1}\bar{x}\| \leq \bar{P}\|x^*\|,$$

and

$$q \leq \eta_1 |\lambda| + \eta_2 \|I - H\|$$

and

$$\bar{P} = (1 + \epsilon) |\lambda| \bar{\eta} \|\phi_0^{-1} \bar{K}^{-1}\| + \epsilon \left[ 1 + \|\phi_0^{-1} (I - \bar{H})^{-1} \phi (I - H)\| \right]$$

III. Convergence results

if

1.  $(I-H)$  has a linear inverse
2. Conditions of Statement I are satisfied
3. A, B, and C of Statement I are satisfied for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\eta} \|\phi_0^{-1}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_1 \|\phi_0^{-1} \phi\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_2 \|\phi_0^{-1} \phi\| = 0$$

then the approximate equation (K3) is soluble for sufficiently large  $n$  and the sequence of approximate solutions converge to the exact solution. Also,

$$\|x^* - \tilde{x}^*\| \leq \bar{Q} \bar{\eta} \|\phi_0^{-1}\| + \bar{Q}_1 \eta_1 \|\phi_0^{-1} \phi\| + \bar{Q}_2 \eta_2 \|\phi_0^{-1} \phi\|$$

where  $\tilde{x}_n^* = \phi_0^{-1} \bar{x}_n^*$ , and  $\bar{Q}_1$ ,  $\bar{Q}$ , and  $\bar{Q}_2$  are constants. First, seek to show

$$\|\phi H \tilde{x} - \bar{H} \phi_0 \tilde{x}\| \leq \eta \|\tilde{x}\|$$

Case 1: Suppose

$$H \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi H \tilde{x} = \phi_0 H \tilde{x}$$

Since

$$\bar{H} = \phi_0 \tilde{H} \phi_0^{-1}$$

$$\phi H \tilde{x} - \bar{H} \phi_0 \tilde{x} = \phi_0 H \tilde{x} - \phi_0 \tilde{H} \tilde{x}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\phi H\tilde{x} - \bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x}\| \leq \|H - \bar{H}\| \|\tilde{x}\| = \eta \|\tilde{x}\|, \quad \text{for } \eta = \|H - \bar{H}\|$$

Case 2:

$$\begin{aligned} (H\tilde{x}) &\in \tilde{X}, \\ \phi H\tilde{x} &= \phi \int_0^1 h(s,t)\tilde{x}(s)ds, \\ &= \int_0^1 h(s,t_1)\tilde{x}(s)ds, \int_0^1 h(s,t_2)\tilde{x}(s)ds, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Seek to show that for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}_n$ ,

$$\|\bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x} - \phi H\tilde{x}\| \leq \eta \|\tilde{x}\|$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H} &= \phi_0 \bar{H} \phi_0^{-1} \\ H\tilde{x} &= \int_0^1 h(s,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt; \\ \phi H\tilde{x} &= \int_0^1 h(t_1,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt, \int_0^1 h(t_2,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt, \dots \int_0^1 h(t_n,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt \\ \phi_0\tilde{x} &= [\tilde{x}(t_1), \tilde{x}(t_2), \dots, \tilde{x}(t_n)] \\ \bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x} &= \frac{1}{n} [h(t_1,t_1)\tilde{x}(t_1) + h(t_1,t_2)\tilde{x}(t_2) + \dots + h(t_1,t_n)\tilde{x}(t_n), \\ &\quad h(t_2,t_1)\tilde{x}(t_1) + h(t_2,t_2)\tilde{x}(t_2) + \dots + h(t_2,t_n)\tilde{x}(t_n), \dots, \\ &\quad h(t_n,t_1)\tilde{x}(t_1) + h(t_n,t_2)\tilde{x}(t_2) + \dots + h(t_n,t_n)\tilde{x}(t_n)] \\ -\bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x} + \phi H\tilde{x} &= \left[ \int_0^1 h(t_1,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(t_1,t_i)\tilde{x}(t_i), \int_0^1 h(t_2,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(t_2,t_i)\tilde{x}(t_i), \dots, \int_0^1 h(t_n,t)\tilde{x}(t)dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(t_n,t_i)\tilde{x}(t_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$



Let  $[0,1]$  be partitioned by the  $T_i$ 's,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where  $T_i = [i - (1/2)]/n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with the length  $[T_{i-1}, T_i] = |\Delta_i|$ . Therefore, there are  $n - 1$  intervals of length  $\Delta$ , with the end intervals  $= \Delta/2$ .

Let  $z(t) = h(t_j, t)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 z(t) \bar{x}(t) dt - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z(t_i) \bar{x}(t_i) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{K=1}^n \int_{T_K}^{T_{K+1}} [z(t) \bar{x}(t) - z(t_K) \bar{x}(t)] dt + \int_{T_K}^{T_{K+1}} [z(t_K) \bar{x}(t) - z(t_K) \bar{x}(t_K)] dt \right| \\ &\leq \omega_{\Delta_K}(z) \|\bar{x}\| + \left| \sum_{K=1}^n \frac{1}{n} [z(t_K) \bar{x}(\bar{t}_K) - z(t_K) \bar{x}(t_K)] \right| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{t}_K = \frac{T_K + T_{K+1}}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n z(t_K) \bar{x}(\bar{t}_K) - z(t_K) \bar{x}(t_K) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n z(t_K) \left[ \frac{\bar{x}(t_{K+1}) + \bar{x}(t_K)}{2} - \bar{x}(t_K) \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^n z(t_K) \left[ \frac{\bar{x}(t_{K+1}) - \bar{x}(t_K)}{2} \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{z(t_1)}{2} [\bar{x}(t_2) - \bar{x}(t_1)] + \frac{z(t_2)}{2} [\bar{x}(t_3) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \bar{x}(t_2)] + \dots + \frac{z(t_n)}{2} [\bar{x}(t_1) - \bar{x}(t_n)] \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{\bar{x}(t_1)}{2} [z(t_n) - z(t_1)] + \frac{\bar{x}(t_2)}{2} [z(t_1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - z(t_2)] + \dots + \frac{\bar{x}(t_n)}{2} [z(t_{n-1}) - z(t_n)] \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|\bar{x}\|}{2n} [n \omega_{\Delta_K}(z)] \leq \frac{\|\bar{x}\|}{2} \omega_{\Delta_K}(z) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_0^1 z(t)\tilde{x}(t)dt - \sum_{K=1}^n \frac{1}{n} z(t_K)\tilde{x}(t_K) \right| \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega_S(\Delta_K) \|\tilde{x}\|$$

Hence,

$$\|\bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x} - \phi H\tilde{x}\| \leq \bar{\eta} \|\tilde{x}\|$$

where

$$\bar{\eta} = \frac{3}{2} \omega_S(\Delta)$$

For the nonperiodic case, an additional term comes in, due to the ends of the interval:

$$\left| \int_0^1 z(t)\tilde{x}(t)dt - \sum_{K=1}^n \frac{1}{n} z(t_K)\tilde{x}(t_K) \right| \leq \left[ \frac{3}{2} \omega_S(\Delta_K) + \Delta_K \|h\| \right] \|\tilde{x}\|$$

Hence,

$$\|\bar{H}\phi_0\tilde{x} - \phi H\tilde{x}\| \leq \bar{\eta} \|\tilde{x}\|$$

where  $\bar{\eta} = (3/2)\omega_S(\Delta) + \Delta\|h\|$  for the nonperiodic case.

Seek to show condition B. For every  $x \in X$  there exists  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  such that

$$\|Hx - \tilde{x}\| \leq \eta_1 \|x\|$$

To this end, we will first show

$$\|z - \tilde{z}\| \leq \omega\left(\frac{3}{2} \Delta\right)$$

Let

$$T_j \leq s \leq T_{j+1}$$

$$z(s) - \tilde{z}(s) = z(s) - n[(T_{j+1} - s)z(t_j) + (s - T_j)z(t_{j+1})]$$

Therefore,

$$z(s) - \tilde{z}(s) = n(T_{j+1} - s)[z(s) - z(t_j)] + n(s - T_j)[z(s) - z(t_{j+1})]$$

$$|z(s) - \tilde{z}(s)| \leq n(T_{j+1} - s)\omega\left(\frac{3}{2} \Delta\right) + n(s - T_j)\omega\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right) \leq \omega\left(\frac{3}{2} \Delta\right)$$



Let

$$z = Hx$$

$$\begin{aligned} |z(s) - z(s')| &= \left| \int_0^1 [h(s, t) - h(s', t)] x(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \omega_s \left( \frac{3\delta}{2} \right) \|x\|, \quad |s' - s| \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\omega \left( \frac{3}{2} \Delta \right) = \omega_s \left( \frac{3\delta}{2} \right) \|x\|$$

Hence

$$\|Hx - \bar{z}\| \leq \omega_s \left( \frac{3}{2} \Delta \right) \|x\|, \text{ where } \bar{z} = \phi_0^{-1} \phi Hx$$

For condition B, the proof for the nonperiodic case is identical. Therefore, condition B is satisfied with  $\eta_1 = \omega_s[(3/2)\Delta]$ .

To show condition C: Seek to show an element  $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$  exists, such that

$$\|y - \tilde{y}\| \leq \eta_2 \|y\|$$

From the preceding section we see that

$$\|y - \tilde{y}\| \leq \omega \left( \frac{3}{2} \Delta \right)$$

Therefore, the result directly follows by letting  $\eta_2 = [\omega(3\Delta/2)]/\|y\|$ . Similarly, this holds true for the nonperiodic case. Therefore, relative to page 7, conditions A, B, and C have been established for arbitrary  $n > 1$ , and it remains to show

1.  $(I - \lambda H)$  has a linear inverse
2.  $\bar{q} = |\lambda| [\bar{\eta}(1 + |\lambda|\eta_1)\|\phi_0^{-1}\| + \eta_1\|\phi_0^{-1}\phi K\|]\|K^{-1}\| < 1$
3.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\eta}\|\phi_0^{-1}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_1\|\phi_0^{-1}\phi\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_2\|\phi_0^{-1}\phi\| = 0$

Since  $\bar{\eta} = (3/2)\omega_s(\Delta)$ ,  $\eta_1 = \omega_s[(3/2)\Delta]$ , ( $\bar{\eta} = (3/2)\omega_s(\Delta) + |\Delta|\|h\|$ , for the nonperiodic case), and  $\eta_2 = \omega[(3/2)\Delta]/\|y\|$ , they all tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; also,  $\|\phi_0^{-1}\| = \|\phi\| = 1$ .

Hence, when  $\lambda$  is a noncharacteristic value of the operation  $(I - \lambda H)$ , then for sufficiently large  $n$ , the system of equations (k3) is soluble (with solution  $\bar{x}_n^*$ ), and the sequence  $\phi_0^{-1}\bar{x}_n^*$  converges to the exact solution of (1).

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Therefore, based on the Kantorovich theory, the approximate solutions  $\tilde{x}_n$ 's of the equations

$$\tilde{x}_n - \lambda \tilde{H}_n \tilde{x}_n = \phi_0^{-1} \phi y$$

have been shown to converge to the solution  $x^*$  of equation (1):

$$x - \lambda Hx = y$$

that is,

$$\|\tilde{x}_n^* - \tilde{x}^*\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

#### REFERENCE

1. Kantorovich, L. V.; and Akilov, G. P.: Functional Analysis in Normed Space. Pergamon Press, New York, N.Y., 1964.



1. Report No. NASA TM-81195 USAAVRADCOM TR-81-A-1		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle  A SOLUTION OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL FREDHOLM INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND KIND				5. Report Date	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s)  Ralph E. Gabrielsen				8. Performing Organization Report No.  A-8165	
				10. Work Unit No.  505-31-31	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address  Ames Research Center and Aeromechanics Laboratory AVRADCOM Research and Technology Laboratories Moffett Field, CA 94035				11. Contract or Grant No.	
				13. Type of Report and Period Covered  Technical Memorandum	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C. 20546 and U.S. Army Aviation Research and Development Command St. Louis, Missouri 63166				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstract  Fredholm integral equations of the second kind of one dimension are numerically solved. In particular, it is proven that the numerical solution converges to the exact solution of the integral equation. This is shown first for periodic kernels and then extended to nonperiodic kernels. Similar results have been accomplished by several authors; however, this development is significant in that it helps delineate a basic theory which has the potential of solving very complex problems.					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))  Integral equations Numerical methods			18. Distribution Statement  Unlimited  STAR Category - 64		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)  Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page)  Unclassified		21. No. of Pages  13	
				22. Price*  \$5.00	